

FILED  
BUSINESS DIVISION  
MAR 27 1985  
REGISTERED MAIL  
CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT  
SAN DIEGO

MARTIN COHEN  
SCOTT F. PEARCE  
COHEN AND LUCKENBACHER  
6430 Sunset Boulevard, Suite 1500  
Los Angeles, California 90028  
(213) 463-1151

Attorney for Plaintiff/Cross-Defendant

SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

MURAKAMI-WOLF-SWENSON, a  
California corporation,

Plaintiff,

vs.

RDI VIDEO SYSTEMS, INC., a  
California corporation, and  
DOES I through XX, inclusive,

Defendants.

RDI VIDEO SYSTEMS, INC.,

Cross-Complainant,

vs.

MURAKAMI-WOLF-SWENSON  
PRODUCTIONS, LTD., and  
DOES 1 through 30,  
inclusive,

Cross-Defendants.

Case No.: 532 481

ANSWER TO CROSS-COMPLAINT

Cross-Defendant MURAKAMI-WOLF-SWENSON answers the Cross-Complaint as follows:

1. In answer to the unverified Cross-Complaint, Cross-Defendant MURAKAMI-WOLF-SWENSON, pursuant to California Code of

1 Civil Procedure, Section 431.30(d), denies generally and  
2 specifically all allegations contained in the First and Second  
3 Causes or Action of the Cross-Complaint.

4 2. Cross-Defendant specifically denies that the Cross-  
5 Defendants are indebted to the Cross-Complainants in any amount  
6 whatsoever.

7 FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

8 1. The Cross-Complainant's First Cause of Action fails to  
9 state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action against  
10 Cross-Defendant MURAKAMI-WOLF-SWENSON.

11 SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

12 2. The Cross-Complainant's Second Cause of Action fails to  
13 state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action against  
14 Cross-Defendant MURAKAMI-WOLF-SWENSON.

15 THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

16 3. The Cross-Complainant has engaged in conduct sufficient  
17 to constitute a waiver of any alleged breaches of contract or  
18 alleged intentional misconduct, if any, by Cross-Defendant as set  
19 forth in the Cross-Complaint.

20 WHEREFORE, Cross-Defendant prays for judgment as follows:

21 1. That Cross-Complainant take nothing by the Cross-  
22 Complaint and that judgment be rendered for Cross-Defendant;


23 2. For costs of suit herein incurred; and

24 //

1           3.    For such other relief as the court deems just and  
2 proper.

3  
4 DATED: March 25, 1985

COHEN AND LUCKENBACHER

5  
6 By   
7 MARTIN COHEN  
8 Attorneys for Plaintiff/  
9 Cross-Defendant  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28